A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY ATTEST

By Dana Stewart on Mar 31, 2010

FOR THE UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Mar 16, 2010

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: MEDTRONIC, INC., SPRINT FIDELIS LEADS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1905

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-47)

On February 21, 2008, the Panel transferred 22 civil actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 536 F.Supp.2d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2008). Since that time, 550 additional actions have been transferred to the District of Minnesota. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Richard H. Kyle.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of Minnesota and assigned to Judge Kyle.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Minnesota for the reasons stated in the order of February 21, 2008, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Richard H. Kyle.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 14 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 14-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Mar 31, 2010

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

of teerial in my gyelody,

_20*10*

SCHEDULE CTO-47 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u>	CASE CAPTION	
CALIFORNIA EASTERN CAE 2 10-336	John Vermeulen v. Medtronic USA, Inc. 10 - 1104	PHE/7SM
FLORIDA MIDDLE FLM 8 10-477	Steven Moore, et al. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al. 10 - 1105	RHKIJSM
FLORIDA SOUTHERN FLS 9 10-80328	Martha Ann Thomas, etc. v. Medtronic, Inc. 10 - 100	RHKIJSM
ILLINOIS SOUTHERN ILS 3 10-92	Kathy Mueller, etc. v. Medtronic, Inc. 10 - 1107	RHK/JSM
MASSACHUSETTS MA 4 10-40049 Rosemary Soderberg, etc. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al. Opposed 3/31/10		
MARYLAND MD 8 10-529	John J. Glazier, Sr., etc. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al. 10 – 1108	RHK/JSM
NEW JERSEY NJ 1 10-814 NJ 1 10-815	Joann King v. Medtronic, Inc., et al. [0 - 1] 09 Lenette Heinzinger, et al. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al. [0 - 1] 0	RHK1JSM RHK1JSM
NEVADA NV 2 10-197	Lana R. Bates v. Medtronic, Inc. [O -]]]	LHKITSM
NEW YORK EASTERN NYE 1 09-5244 NYE 1 09-5246 NYE 1 10-220 NYE 1 10-746	James Welch, Jr., et al. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al. (0 – 1113) Larry Mack v. Medtronic, Inc., et al. (0 – 1113) Betty Parish v. Medtronic, Inc., et al. (0 – 1114) Bernard Woronoff, et al. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al. (0 – 1115)	RITK/JSM RHK/JSM RITK/JSM RITK/JSM
PENNSYLVANIA EASTER PAE 2 10-656	RN Ernest Nuskey v. Medtronic, Inc. 10 - 1116 LIH	C/JSM
TENNESSEE EASTERN TNE 3 10-81	Charles Bryant, etc. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al. [0-11]	RITK/JSM
WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHE WVS 2 10-179	ERN Danny E. Hicks, et al. v. Medtronic, Inc., et al. 0 - 118	RHK/JSM RHK/JSM